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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=173 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.003 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.033$
$w R$ factor $=0.090$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=23.1$
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## Bis( $\mu$-salicylaldehyde semicarbazonato)bis[formatocopper(II)]

The salicylaldehyde semicarbazonate anion $O, N, O$-chelates to the Cu atom in the title compound, bis $[\mu-2$-(semicarbazono-methyl)phenolato]- $1 \kappa^{3} O^{3}, N^{1}, O: 2 \kappa O ; 1 \kappa O: 2 \kappa^{3} O^{3}, N^{1}, O$-bis$[($ formato- $\kappa O)$ copper $(\mathrm{II})]\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CHO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$, the N and O atoms occupying three sites of the square-planar environment around it. The fourth site is occupied by the negatively charged O atom of the formate anion. Two molecules are bridged through the phenoxy O atom across a center of symmetry to give a dinuclear compound, so that the Cu atom exists in a square-pyramidal geometry $\left[\mathrm{Cu} \leftarrow \mathrm{O}_{\text {phenoxy }}=2.546\right.$ (1) $\AA$ in the apical position]. Hydrogen bonds link the dinuclear molecules into a three-dimensional network architecture.

## Comment

Only a very small number of metal complexes of the terdentate Schiff base that is formed by condensing salicylaldehyde with semicarbazide have been crystallographically authenticated; the complexes of salicylaldehyde semicarbazone, which is an approximately planar molecule (Valdes-Martinez et al., 1990), are limited to the vanadium derivative, cis-dioxo(salicylaldehyde semicarbazonato)vanadium(V) (Noblia et al., 2004) and the copper derivatives monomeric (chlorosalicylaldehyde semicarbazonato)copper dihydrate (Patole et al., 2001; Samus’ et al., 1990) and diaqua(salicylaldehyde semicarbazonato)copper, aqua(salicylaldehyde semicarbazonato)(dimethylformamide)copper sulfate trihydrate (Chumakov et al., 1996).


In the title compound, (I), the negatively charged formate O atom is linked to the $O, N, O$-chelated Cu atom, the N atom and three O atoms comprising a basal square plane around the Cu atom. Two molecules are bridged through the phenoxy O atom to form a dinuclear species across a center of inversion (Fig. 1). The symmetry-related phenoxy O atom occupies the apical position of the resulting square pyramid, and the bridging $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {phenoxy }}$ bond is significantly longer than both
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Figure 1


ORTEPII plot (Johnson, 1976) of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $70 \%$ probability level [symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$ ].
the covalent $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {phenoxy }}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {carbonyl }}$ bonds in the basal plane. Adjacent dinuclear units are linked by hydrogen bonds (Table 2) to form a three-dimensional network.

The salicylaldehyde-glycine dianion, which possesses bonding features similar to those of the salicylaldehyde semicarbazone monoanion, has been shown to bind to copper(II) as a terdentate chelate; the dimeric nature of the urea adduct also arises from bridging by the phenoxy O atom in that square-pyramidal compound (Valenta et al., 2002).

## Experimental

Salicylaldehyde semicarbazone ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in hot ethanol $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ and copper sulfate pentahydrate $(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The aqueous solution was added to the ethanol solution and the mixture kept at $313-323 \mathrm{~K}$ for 30 min . The pale-green precipitate of bis[aqua(salicylaldehyde semicarbazonato)copper] sulfate dihydrate, (II), was collected and washed with ethanol (yield: $90 \%$ ). (II) ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in water $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and to this solution was added a large excess $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ of formic acid. Darkgreen crystals of (I) separated from the mixture within an hour in about $80 \%$ yield. Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C 37.70 , H 3.14, N $14.66 \%$; found: C 37.53 , H 3.02, N $14.60 \%$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CHO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$
$M_{r}=573.46$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{6} / n$
$a=7.7562$ (5) A
$b=14.1641$ ( 8 ) $\AA$
$c=9.6837(6) \AA$
$\beta=102.743(1)^{\circ}$
$V=1037.6(1) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.675, T_{\text {max }}=0.866$
13226 measured reflections
$D_{x}=1.835 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 1754 reflections
$\theta=2.6-32.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=2.11 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=173(2) \mathrm{K}$
Prism, green
$0.33 \times 0.11 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~mm}$

> 3551 independent reflections
> 2997 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.040$
> $\theta_{\max }=32.0^{\circ}$
> $h=-11 \rightarrow 11$
> $k=-21 \rightarrow 20$
> $l=-14 \rightarrow 14$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0459 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.5822 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.59 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.54 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.918(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $1.922(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $2.546(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.923(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.976(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $86.90(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $96.57(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $174.84(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $96.11(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $89.97(5)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $95.16(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $93.19(6)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $81.67(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $93.10(5)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $167.07(6)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 n \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.88 | 2.05 | $2.804(2)$ | 143 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 n 1 \cdots 4^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.88 | 2.09 | $2.931(2)$ | 159 |

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$.
H atoms were placed at calculated positions $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.88 \AA$ and $\left.U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}, N)\right]$ and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

The authors thank the Institute of Chemistry of the Far East Division of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moldova State University and the University of Malaya for supporting this study.
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